SEQRA RESOLUTION CVES BOCES PROJECT

A regular meeting of Clinton County Capital Resource Corporation (the "Issuer") was convened in public session in the offices of the Issuer located at 137 Margaret Street, Suite 209 in the City of Plattsburgh, Clinton County, New York on November 13, 2024 at 12:00 o'clock p.m., local time.

The meeting was called to order by the (Vice) Chairperson and, upon roll being called, the following members of the board of directors of the Issuer (the "Board of Directors") were:

PRESENT:

Trent Trahan Chairperson
David Hoover Vice Chairperson

Michael E. Zurlo Secretary Keith Defayette Treasurer

Mark Leta Assistant Secretary

John VanNatten Member Joey Trombley Member

ABSENT:

ISSUER STAFF PRESENT INCLUDED THE FOLLOWING:

Molly F. RyanExecutive DirectorToni MoffatExecutive AssistantDorothy BrunellAdministrative Assistant

Christopher C. Canada, Esq. Issuer Counsel Shannon E. Wagner, Esq. Issuer Counsel

The following resolution was offered by _____, seconded by ____, to wit:

Resolution No. 11-24-02

RESOLUTION CONCURRING IN THE DETERMINATION BY THE TOWN OF PLATTSBURGH PLANNING BOARD, AS LEAD AGENCY FOR THE ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW OF THE CVES BOCES PROJECT.

WHEREAS, pursuant to the provisions of Section 1411 of the Not-For-Profit Corporation Law of the State of New York, as amended (the "Enabling Act") and Revenue Ruling 57-187 and Private Letter Ruling 200936012, (A) the County Legislature (the "Legislature") of Clinton County, New York (the "County") adopted a resolution on November 10, 2010 (the "Sponsor Resolution") (1) authorizing the incorporation of Clinton County Capital Resource Corporation (the "Issuer") as a public instrumentality of the County and (2) appointing the initial members of the board of directors of the Issuer, who serve at the pleasure of the County, and (B) in December, 2010, a certificate of incorporation was filed with the New York Secretary of State's Office (the "Certificate of Incorporation") creating the Issuer; and;

WHEREAS, the Issuer is authorized and empowered by the provisions of the Enabling Act to relieve and reduce unemployment, promote and provide for additional and maximum employment, better and maintain job opportunities, and lessen the burdens of government and act in the public interest, and in

carrying out the aforesaid purposes and in exercising the powers conferred in the Enabling Act, the Enabling Act declares that the Issuer will be performing essential governmental functions; and

WHEREAS, to accomplish its stated purposes, the Issuer is authorized and empowered under the Enabling Act to acquire real and personal property; to borrow money and issue negotiable bonds, notes and other obligations therefore; to lease, sell, mortgage or otherwise dispose of or encumber any of its real or personal property upon such terms as it may determine; and otherwise to carry out its corporate purposes in the territory in which the operations of the Issuer are principally to be conducted; and

WHEREAS, CIDC Plattsburgh, LLC, a New York State limited liability company (the "Borrower"), submitted an application (the "Application") to the Issuer, a copy of which Application is on file at the office of the Issuer, which Application requested that the Issuer consider undertaking a project (the "Initial Project") for the benefit of the Borrower, said Initial Project consisting of the following: (A) (i) the construction of an approximately 154,000 square foot building, an approximately 11,373 square foot building and associated parking (collectively, the "Initial Facility") on an approximately 17.1 acre parcel of land located at Rugar Street and Industrial Boulevard in the Town of Plattsburgh, Clinton County, New York (the "Initial Land") and (ii) the acquisition of and installation thereon and therein of machinery and equipment (the "Initial Equipment") (the Initial Facility, the Initial Land and the Initial Equipment being hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Initial Project Facility"), all of the foregoing to be owned by the Borrower and leased to Champlain Valley Educational Services ("BOCES") for use as an administrative/educational facility and any other directly and indirectly related activities; (B) the financing of all or a portion of the costs of the foregoing by the issuance of taxable and/or tax-exempt revenue bonds of the Issuer in one or more issues or series in an aggregate principal amount sufficient to pay all or a portion of the cost of undertaking the Initial Project, together with necessary incidental costs in connection therewith, presently estimated to equal approximately \$68,250,000 but in any event not to exceed \$75,000,000 (the "Obligations"); (C) the payment of a portion of the costs incidental to the issuance of the Obligations, including issuance costs of the Obligations and any reserve funds as may be necessary to secure the Obligations; (D) the granting of certain other financial assistance with respect to the foregoing, including exemption from certain mortgage recording taxes; and (E) the making of a loan (the "Loan") of the proceeds of the Obligations to the Borrower or such other person as may be designated by the Borrower and agreed upon by the Issuer; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the authorization contained in a resolution adopted by the members of the board of directors of the Issuer on October 21, 2024 (the "Preliminary Inducement Resolution"), the Executive Director of the Issuer (A) caused a certified copy of the Preliminary Inducement Resolution to be sent via certified mail, return receipt requested, on October 25, 2024 to the chief executive officer of the County and of each city, town, village and school district in which the Initial Project Facility is located (collectively, the "Affected Tax Jurisdictions"), (B) caused notice of a public hearing of the Issuer (the "Public Hearing") pursuant to Section 147(f) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code") and, as provided in the Certificate of Incorporation, pursuant to the applicable provisions of Section 859-a and Section 859-b of the General Municipal Law of the State of New York (the "GML"), to hear all persons interested in the Initial Project and the financial assistance being contemplated by the Issuer with respect to the Initial Project, to be published on October 29, 2024 in The Press Republican, a newspaper of general circulation available to the residents of the Town of Plattsburgh, Clinton County, New York, (C) caused notice of the Public Hearing to be posted on October 28, 2024 on a public bulletin board located at offices of the Issuer located at 137 Margaret Street, Suite 209 in the City of Plattsburgh, Clinton County, New York, and on the Issuer's website, (D) caused notice of the Public Hearing to be mailed on October 28, 2024 to the Affected Tax Jurisdictions, (E) conducted the Public Hearing on November 8, 2024 at 9:30 o'clock, a.m., local time at the Town of Plattsburgh Town Hall located at 151 Banker Road in the Town of Plattsburgh, Clinton County, New York, and (F) prepared a report of the Public Hearing (the "Public Hearing Report") which fairly summarized the views presented at such Public

Hearing and caused copies of said Public Hearing Report to be made available to the members of the board of directors of the Issuer and to the County Legislature; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law, Chapter 43-B of the Consolidated Laws of New York, as amended (the "SEQR Act") and the regulations (the "Regulations") adopted pursuant thereto by the Department of Environmental Conservation of the State of New York (collectively with the SEQR Act, "SEQRA"), the Issuer has been informed that (A) the Town of Plattsburgh Planning Board (the "Planning Board") was designated to act as "lead agency" with respect to the Initial Project and (B) the Planning Board issued a Determination of Non Significance on August 20, 2024 relating to the Initial Project (the "Negative Declaration"), a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A, determining that the acquisition, construction and installation of the Initial Project Facility was a "Type 1" action (as such quoted term is defined in SEQRA) that would not have a significant effect on the environment and, therefore, did not require the preparation of an environmental impact statement; and

WHEREAS, at the time that the Planning Board determined itself to be the "lead agency" with respect to the Initial Project, it was not known that the Issuer was an "involved agency" (as defined under SEQRA) with respect to the Initial Project, and, now that the Issuer has become an "involved agency" with respect to the Initial Project, the Issuer desires to concur in the designation of the Planning Board as "lead agency" with respect to the Initial Project, to acknowledge receipt of a copy of the Negative Declaration and to indicate that the Issuer has no information to suggest that the Planning Board was incorrect in determining that the Initial Project will not have a "significant effect on the environment" pursuant to SEQRA;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF CLINTON COUNTY CAPITAL RESOURCE CORPORATION AS FOLLOWS:

<u>Section 1</u>. The Issuer has received copies of, and has reviewed, the Application, a full environmental assessment form relating to the Initial Project (the "EAF") and the Negative Declaration (collectively, the "Reviewed Documents") and, based upon said Reviewed Documents, the Issuer hereby ratifies and concurs in the designation of the Planning Board as "lead agency" with respect to the Initial Project under SEQRA (as such quoted term is defined in SEQRA).

<u>Section 2</u>. The Issuer hereby determines that the Issuer has no information to suggest that the Planning Board was incorrect in determining that the Initial Project will not have a "significant effect on the environment" pursuant to the SEQRA and, therefore, that environmental impact statement need not be prepared with respect to the Initial Project (as such quoted phrase is used in SEQRA).

<u>Section 3</u>. The Executive Director of the Issuer is hereby directed to notify the Planning Board of the concurrence by the Issuer that the Planning Board shall be the "lead agency" with respect to the Initial Project, and to further indicate to the Planning Board that the Issuer has no information to suggest that the Planning Board was incorrect in its determinations contained in the Negative Declaration.

Section 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

[Remainder of page left blank intentionally]

The question	n of the adoption	of the foregoing	resolution	was duly p	out to a vote	e on roll ca	ll, which
resulted as follows:							

Trent Trahan	VOTING	
David Hoover	VOTING	
Michael E. Zurlo	VOTING	
Keith Defayette	VOTING	
Mark Leta	VOTING	
John VanNatten	VOTING	
Joey Trombley	VOTING	

The foregoing resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.

[Remainder of page left blank intentionally]

STATE OF NEW YORK)
) SS.:
COUNTY OF CLINTON)

I, the undersigned (Assistant) Secretary of Clinton County Capital Resource Corporation (the "Issuer"), DO HEREBY CERTIFY that I have compared the foregoing annexed extract of the minutes of the meeting of the board of directors of the Issuer (the "Board of Directors"), including the resolution contained therein, held on November 13, 2024 with the original thereof on file in my office, and that the same is a true and correct copy of said original and of such resolution contained therein and of the whole of said original so far as the same relates to the subject matters therein referred to.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that (A) all members of the Board of Directors had due notice of said meeting; (B) said meeting was in all respects duly held; (C) pursuant to Article 7 of the Public Officers Law (the "Open Meetings Law"), said meeting was open to the general public, and due notice of the time and place of said meeting was duly given in accordance with such Open Meetings Law; and (D) there was a quorum of the members of the Board of Directors present throughout said meeting.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that, as of the date hereof, the attached resolution is in full force and effect and has not been amended, repealed or rescinded.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of the Issuer this 13th day of November, 2024.

(Assistant) Secretary	

EXHIBIT A

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

See attached.



TOWN OF PLATTSBURGH

SENIOR PLANNER TREVOR COLE, AICP

PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

151 BANKER ROAD PLATTSBURGH, NY 12901-7307 SENIOR PLANNER
JESSICA KOGUT

WWW.TOWNOFPLATTSBURGH.COM DEPT. LINE: (518)-562-6850

FAX: (518)-563-8396 TDD: (800)-662-1220 PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY MICHELE BUCKMINSTER

August 23, 2024

CIDC Plattsburgh LLC c/o BBL Construction Services LLC 302 Washington Ave Ext. Albany, NY 12203

RE:

CVES Site Plan & Subdivision 2024 SEQRA Review & Determination

Dear CIDC Plattsburgh LLC:

Transmitted herewith is a copy of Resolution No. 24-47 dated August 20, 2024, wherein your Project was reviewed by the Town of Plattsburgh's Planning Board in accordance with the State of New York's State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA). The Planning Board has prepared, executed, and filed accordingly, the attached "Notice of No Significant Environmental Impact" (NEGATIVE DECLARATION) for this "Project".

If you have any questions relative to the above, please contact the Planning & Community Development Department at the Town of Plattsburgh.

Sincerely yours,

Tim Palmer, Chairperson of the Planning Board

Trevor Cole, AICP Senior Planner

Pc:

RMS, Project Engineer

Steve Imhoff, Codes Enforcement Officer

The Town of Plattsburgh is an equal opportunity provider and employer

TOWN OF PLATTSBURGH PLANNING BOARD MEETING AUGUST 20, 2024

Resolution No. 24-47

Motioned by: Terry Senecal

CVES SITE PLAN 2024- Request to construct a 155,000. sq ft education facility with an accessory structure, parking, bus and fire circulation, site lighting and stormwater management. AREA VARIANCES REQUIRED. Located on Industrial Blvd. with public water and public sewer. Zoned T6 & SD; Tax Map Parcel #220.-1-3.1-1; Owner Clinton County Airport; Applicant CIDC Plattsburgh; Engineer RMS SEQRA REVIEW; and

CVES SUBDIVISION 2024- Request for a 3-lot subdivision of the former Clinton County Airport property resulting in Lot 1 being 80.22-acres, Lot 2 being 17.04-acres, and Lot 3 being an extension of a public road to be conveyed to the Town. Located on Industrial Blvd. with public water and public sewer. Zoned T6 & SD; Tax Map Parcel #220.-1-3.1-1; Owner Clinton County Airport; Applicant CIDC Plattsburgh, LLC; Engineer RMS SEQRA REVIEW; and

WHEREAS, Part 617 of the Environmental Conservation Law - "State Environmental Quality Review Act" (SEQRA), provides for the review of any "ACTION" to determine the effect of the action on the environment, along with any related administrative procedures for the implementation, authorization or approval of the action; and

WHEREAS, said Part 617 of the Environmental Conservation Law provides for an involved agency to review any action for the purpose of determining the effect of the action on the environment; and

WHEREAS, public comment opportunity was provided in consideration of this Project on August 20, 2024; and

WHEREAS, the Town's Planning & Community Development Department received and reviewed the Site Plan application, maps, plans, and SEQRA Part 1 Short EAF; and

WHEREAS, the Town's Planning Board reviewed the information filed with the application for the Project, including but not limited to the EAF Part 1 and additional information provided to supplement and clarify the same including but not limited to the following:

- -Project Site Plans, Subdivision Plans, maps and supplemental materials
- -Project Narrative Letter Dated April 30, 2024
- -Project Application Dated April 30, 2024
- -SEQRA EAF Part I
- -SEQRA EAF Part II
- -Project Narrative Letter Dated July 29, 2024

- -Traffic Assessment conducted by Creighton Manning dated June 18, 2024
- -Freshwater Wetland Delineation and Report conducted by B. Laing Associates dated May 2024
- -Stormwater Management Report produced by RMS, P.C. dated July 29, 2024
- -Stormwater Report Engineering Review Letter from Laberge Group dated August 14, 2024
- -Planning Board Meeting conversations and public hearing comments; and

WHEREAS, the Town's Planning Board conducted a detailed and comprehensive environmental review of the Project to determine whether there was a significant impact which would require the preparation of a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS);

Now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Town's Planning Board does hereby determine that the project is an "TYPE I ACTION" in accordance with said Environmental Review procedures and a coordinated review was conducted; and, be it further

RESOLVED, that the Town's Planning Board acting as the "Lead Agency" in a SEQRA Review does hereby receive and place on file the X Subdivision X Site Plan applications, maps, plans, completed EAF and other related material submitted; and, be it further

RESOLVED, that the Town Planning Board has reviewed the Planning & Community Development Department's recommendations and supplemental documents referenced above and does hereby find and determine the following:

- The access and traffic proposed in the Project were reviewed by the Town Planning Board and found acceptable; and
- b) The proposed impervious area in the project plan is significant but will be managed in accordance with NYSDEC and Town regulations; and
- The lot coverage and density comply with local zoning regulations or associated variance conditions; and
- d) The erosion and sedimentation from the development and water quality during such development will be properly controlled by the existing storm water facilities; and it is further

RESOLVED, that the Project does not:

- a) involve a substantial adverse change in existing air quality, ground or surface water quality, traffic or noise levels, solid waste production, potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems;
- involve the removal or destruction of large qualities of vegetation or the interference with plant or animal life or impacts on a significant habitat area; substantial adverse impacts on a threatened or endangered species of plant or

animal, or the habitat area of such species, or other significant adverse impacts to natural resources.

- c) conflict with the Town's current plans or goals for the area where the project is located
- d) impair the character or quality of the neighborhood;
- e) represent a major change in the use of energy;
- f) create any hazards to human health;
- g) represent a substantial change in the use of the land;
- significantly increase the number of people who would come to the site absent such development; or
- i) impair the environmental characteristics of the area; and, it is further

RESOLVED, that the Town Planning Board of the Town of Plattsburgh after review of the said__Subdivision_x_Site Plan application, maps, plan, completed EAF, and related materials does hereby determine as "Lead Agency" for the SEQRA Review process that the "Project" will NOT have a significant effect on the environment. Therefore, the preparation of a DEIS is NOT required; and, be it further

RESOLVED, that the Town Planning Board does hereby declare that the Project and environmental review process considered for the development does adequately and sufficiently satisfy the requirements of the State Environmental Quality Review Act for the Project; and be it further

RESOLVED, that a copy of this resolution be forwarded to other involved agencies who may be reviewing the Project for their records and files, and that the attached Negative Declaration Notice of Determination of Non-Significance be filed accordingly.

RESOLVED, that the Planning Board of the Town of Plattsburgh does hereby authorize and direct the Chairman of the Planning Board to have prepared and to execute a "Notice of No Significant Environmental Impact" (NEGATIVE DECLARATION) for this "Project"; and, be it further

RESOLVED, that the "Notice of No Significant Environmental Impact" (NEGATIVE DECLARATION) shall be disseminated to those involved Agencies and Governmental Units as required by said Environmental Conservation and Local Law of the Town of Plattsburgh and all related material shall be maintained on file at the Town Hall Offices of the Planning Board and available for Public Inspection.

Seconded By: Anne Brandell

Discussion (Not Verbatim): Town Senior Planner, Trevor Cole informed the Planning Board that the project had submitted a complete SEQRA short form EAF Part I and that Staff had completed Part II for their review. Mr. Cole indicated that staff had not identified any significant environmental issues associated with the project. Mr. Cole informed the Planning Board that a draft resolution had been prepared for their consideration and, if they concurred with the answers to Part II EAF, they could file a Negative Declaration of environmental significance for the project. Project Engineer, Aaron Ovios spoke about the work that needed to be done so that the project can get the variances needed before coming back for detailed preliminary plan review. Planning Board Chair, Tim Palmer asked Mr. Ovios to reconsider the planting of White Ash trees that are shown on the site plan and Mr. Ovios stated they would change the type of tree on the detailed plans.

		Yes	No
Roll Call:			
	Anne Brandell	X	
	Terry Senecal	X	
	Debbie Blake	X	
	James Sherman	Absent	
	Malana Tamer	X	
	Jay White	X	
	Tim Palmer, Chairman	X	

Carried: 6-0

TOWN OF PLATTSBURGH PLANNING BOARD MEETING AUGUST 20, 2024

August 16, 2024

CVES Site Plan 2024 Project is located on Industrial Blvd. Plans dated July 2024 Plans received by Planning Department on July 30, 2024

Dear Mr. Tim Palmer and Members of the Planning Board:

The above referenced applicant has requested a SEQRA review and determination for the associated site plan and subdivision. The site plan requires variances for deviations from the design guidelines of the T6 District. A SEQRA determination by the Planning Board as Lead Agency, is required before the Zoning Board of Appeals may act on the project's variance appeals.

SEQR

The project has provided the Part 1 Short EAF as attached. The Part 2 & 3 EAF was completed by the Planning & Community Development Department. Additional supplemental information was reviewed as provided including but not limited to:

- -Project Site Plans, Subdivision Plans, maps and supplemental materials
- -Project Narrative Letter Dated April 30, 2024
- -Project Application Dated April 30, 2024
- -SEQRA EAF Part I
- -SEQRA EAF Part II
- -Project Narrative Letter Dated July 29, 2024
- -Traffic Assessment conducted by Creighton Manning dated June 18, 2024
- -Freshwater Wetland Delineation and Report conducted by B. Laing Associates dated May 2024
- -Stormwater Management Report produced by RMS, P.C. dated July 29, 2024
- -Stormwater Report Engineering Review Letter from Laberge Group dated XXXXXX
- -Statement on project Energy Demand from RMS dated 8/16/2024
- -Planning Board Meeting conversations and public hearing comments

No significant adverse impacts were identified for this project. It is the recommendation of the Planning & Community Development Department that the Planning Board consider, based on the information and analysis of Part 2 of the EAF that the proposed action WILL NOT result in any significant adverse environmental impacts and does further recommend that the Planning Board find a NEGATIVE DETERMINATION of significance for this project.

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

| Agency Use Only [If applicable]
| Project : | CVES/BOCES Site Plan 2024 |
| Date : | 8/13/2024 |

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency and the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

Tips for completing Part 2:

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- · Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "Yes" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "No" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- · Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- · The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general
 question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action".
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project.

1. Impact on Land Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1) If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 2.	□NO Z YES		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet.	E2d	Ø	
b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.	E2f	Ø	
c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface.	E2a	Ø	
d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material.	D2a	Ø	
e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases.	D1e	Ø	
f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).	D2e, D2q		
g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.	B1i	Ø	
h. Other impacts:		Ø	

Page 1 of 10

2. Impact on Geological Features The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhib access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g) If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3.	it ☑ NC) 🗆	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached:	E2g	0	0
b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark. Specific feature:	E3c	П	
c. Other impacts:			0
		1	
3. Impacts on Surface Water The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h) If "Yes", answer questions a - 1. If "No", move on to Section 4.	☑no) 🗆	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may create a new water body.	D2b, D1h		0
b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.	D2b	0	0
c. The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.	D2a		
d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.	E2h	0	-
e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.	D2a, D2h		0
f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.	D2c	0	0
g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).	D2d	0	0
 h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies. 	D2e		
i. The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action.	E2h		
j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body.	D2q, E2h		0
 k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing, wastewater treatment facilities. 	Dla, D2d	0	0

Page 2 of 10

1. Other impacts:			
4. Impact on groundwater The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquif (See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t) If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 5.	☑NO er.) [YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.	D2c		
b. Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer. Cite Source:	D2c		
c. The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services.	D1a, D2c	0	0
d. The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.	D2d, E21		
e. The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.	D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h		
f. The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.	D2p, E2l		D
g. The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.	E2h, D2q, E2l, D2c		
h. Other impacts:		0	
5. Impact on Flooding The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. (See Part 1. E.2) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6.	✓NO		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway.	E2i		0
b. The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain.	E2j	_	
c. The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.	E2k		_
d. The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.	D2b, D2e		
e. The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding.	D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k	П	
f. If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair, or upgrade?	Ele		

Page 3 of 10

g. Other impacts:			П
6. Impacts on Air The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. (See Part 1. D.2.f., D,2,h, D.2.g) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", move on to Section 7.	∠ NO) [YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: i. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO ₂) ii. More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N ₂ O) iii. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs) iv. More than 1000 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF ₆) v. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions vi. 43 tons/year or more of methane	D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g	0 0 0	0
b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants.	D2g		
c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.	D2f, D2g		
d. The proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above.	D2g		
e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.	D2s		
f. Other impacts:		В	0
7. Impact on Plants and Animals The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 8.	mq.)	NO	□YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2o		П
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government.	E2o	0	
c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2p		

d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government.

Page 4 of 10

E2p

e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect.	E3c		
f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community. Source:	E2n		
g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site.	E2m	0	
h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. Habitat type & information source:	Elb		
i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides.	D2q		_
j. Other impacts:			П

8. Impact on Agricultural Resources The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. and b.) If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.		NO	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.	E2c, E3b		
b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).	E1a, Elb	_	
c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.	E3b		
d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District.	E1b, E3a		
e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system.	El a, Elb		0
f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland.	C2c, C3, D2c, D2d		
g. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland Protection Plan.	C2c	0	
h. Other impacts:		0	

9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part 1. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 10.	∠ N	ο []YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource.	E3h	0	
b. The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views.	E3h, C2b		
c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points: i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) ii. Year round	E3h	0	0
d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed	E3h		
action is:	E2q,		
Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from work Recreational or tourism based activities	E1c	0	
e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.	E3h	0	
f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project: 0-1/2 mile ½-3 mile 3-5 mile 5+ mile	D1a, E1a, D1f, D1g		
g. Other impacts:		0	0
10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 11.	No	D [YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on or has been nominated by the NYS Board of Historic Preservation for inclusion on the State or National Register of Historic Places.	E3e		
b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory.	E3f	0	0
c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory.	E3g		0

Page 6 of 10

d. Other impacts:			0
If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Moderate to large impact may e. occur", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3:			
 The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property. 	E3e, E3g, E3f	_	0
The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E1a, E1b		
iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3	0	
11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 12.	✓ N	0 [YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat.	D2e, E1b E2h, E2m, E2o, E2n, E2p	0	0
b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.	C2a, E1c, C2c, E2q		
c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.	C2a, C2c E1c, E2q		
d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.	C2c, E1c	0	0
e. Other impacts:		0	
12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d) If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", go to Section 13.	√ NO) [YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d	0	
 The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA. 	E3d	0	
c. Other impacts:			0

Page 7 of 10

13. Impact on Transportation The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems (See Part 1. D.2.j) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 14.	s. N	o 🗸	YES			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur			
a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.	nd traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network. D2j					
b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.	D2j	Ø				
c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.	Ø					
d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.	D2j	Ø				
e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.	D2j	∠				
f. Other impacts:						
14. Impact on Energy The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy. (See Part 1. D.2.k) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 15.	□N•	o Z	YES			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur			
a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.	D2k 🗸					
b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.	D1f, D1q, D2k	Ø				
c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.	D2k	Ø				
d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.	D1g	Ø				
e. Other Impacts:		Ø				
15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor ligh (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16.	iting. VNC) [YES			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur			
a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.	D2m		0			
 b. The proposed action may result in blasting within 1,500 feet of any residence, hospital, school, licensed day care center, or nursing home. 	D2m, E1d		0			
c. The proposed action may result in routine odors for more than one hour per day.	D2o	0	0			

Page 8 of 10

d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.	D2n		
e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions.	D2n, E1a		
f. Other impacts:		а	

16. Impact on Human Health The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. and h.) If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17.				
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No,or small impact may eccur	Moderate to large impact may occur	
The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community.	E1d			
b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation.	E1g, E1h		-	
c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action.	Elg, Elh			
d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g., easement or deed restriction).	Elg, Elh			
e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health.	Elg, Elh			
f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health.	D2t	0		
g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility.	D2q, E1f	_		
h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste.	D2q, E1f			
i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste.	D2r, D2s			
j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.	Elf, Elg Elh	0	0	
k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures.	E1f, E1g			
l. The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site.	D2s, E1f, D2r			
m. Other impacts:				

17. Consistency with Community Plans The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans. (See Part 1. C.1, C.2. and C.3.)	NO		YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", go to Section 18.			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).	C2, C3, D1a E1a, E1b		
b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.	C2		
c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.	C2, C2, C3		0
d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.	C2, C2		
e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.	C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, Elb		
f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure.	C4, D2c, D2d D2j		
g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action)	C2a		
h. Other:			
h. Other:			
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)	√NO		/ES
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character.	✓ NO Relevant	יר	
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)			/ES
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)	Relevant Part I	No, or small impact	Moderate to large impact may
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g.	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire) c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g C4 C2, C3, D1f	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire) c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing. d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g C4 C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
 18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire) c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing. d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources. e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and 	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g C4 C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a C2, E3	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur

PRINT FULL FORM

Page 10 of 10

Project : CVES/BOCES Campus Expansion 2024

Date : 8/13/2024

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 3 - Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

Reasons Supporting This Determination:

To complete this section:

- Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact
 occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to
 occur.
- The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where
 there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse
 environmental impact.
- · Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that
 no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.

_	adverse environmental impa	icts will result.		
Attach addition	nal sheets, as needed.			
of environmental significance. Project Site Plans, Subdivis Project Narrative Letter Data-Project Application Dated ASEQRA EAF Part ISEQRA EAF Part ISEQUATE OF SET IS SET IN THE SET IS SET IS SET IN THE SET IS SET IS SET IN THE SET IS SET IN THE SET IS SET IN THE SET IS SET IS SET IN THE S	e for the project: sion Plans, maps and suppleme ed April 30, 2024 pril 30, 2024 ed July 29, 2024 ed by Creighton Manning dated attion and Report conducted by eport produced by RMS, P.C. of from RMS, P.C dated XXX eversations and public hearing of	ontal materials d June 18, 2024 B. Laing Associates lated July 29, 2024 comments for the Planning Boa	dated May 2024 rd that the issuance	Board has resolved to issue a negative determination of a negative declaration of environmental
	Determination of S		Гуре 1 and Ui	ulisted Actions
SEQR Status:	✓ Type 1	Unlisted		
Identify portions of EAF	completed for this Project:	Part 1	✓ Part 2	Part 3

Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional support information
and considering both the magnitude and importance of each identified potential impact, it is the conclusion of the
A. This project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, and, therefore, an environmental impact statement need not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued.
B. Although this project could have a significant adverse impact on the environment, that impact will be avoided or substantially mitigated because of the following conditions which will be required by the lead agency:
There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and, therefore, this conditioned negative declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED actions (see 6 NYCRR 617.d).
C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environment, and an environmental impact statement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to explore alternatives to avoid or reduce those impacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.
Name of Action: CVES/BOCES Campus Expansion Site Plan 2024
Name of Lead Agency: Town of Plattsburgh Town Board
Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Timothy Palmer
Title of Responsible Officer: Chairman
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Tim Palmer Date: 8/20/24
Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer) Date: 8/20/2024
For Further Information:
Contact Person: Trevor Cole, AICP
Address: 151 Banker Road Plattsburgh, NY 12901
Telephone Number: (518) 562-6850
E-mail: trevorc@townofplattsburgh.org
For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a copy of this Notice is sent to:
Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action will be principally located (e.g., Town / City / Village of) Other involved agencies (if any) Applicant (if any) Environmental Notice Bulletin: http://www.dec.ny.gov/enb/enb.html

PRINT FULL FORM

Page 2 of 2